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Continuing Activities, placing emphasis on any activities supporting the 2017-2021 Strategic Plan
● The group is transitioning to the 2021 conference. We will have a joint meeting to include outgoing and incoming committee members to discuss the online conference - what went well, what we would change.

Completed Activities, placing emphasis on any activities supporting the 2017-2021 Strategic Plan
● We changed the conference to free, online only. This included:
  ○ contacting every speaker to see if they would be willing to present via Zoom using a brief survey
  ○ checking if their recorder preferences had altered
  ○ setting a schedule, in consultation with the Board
  ○ determining which sessions would be prerecorded and which sessions would be live
  ○ communicating this to each speaker.
  ○ updating speaker resources for prerecorded and live sessions
  ○ letting proceedings editors know which sessions required recorders
  ○ holding a drop in webinar to test audio and screen sharing session
● Sched was updated to reflect the new structure of the conference
● PPC worked closely with CPC, primarily Sion Romaine and Lisa Barricella, to plan and run the online conference. We were all learning together to make NASIG 2020 happen.
● Members of PPC learned how to use NASIG Zoom to record the sessions. Members of PPC, CPC and CEC experimented with Zoom webinars to learn how to run the conference. CEC members Adele Fitzgerald and Jennifer Pate were extremely helpful in this endeavor.
● Members of PPC set up recording times with speakers. Beth Ashmore scheduled the meetings. PPC members recorded sessions. Beth Ashmore reviewed the captioning, correcting it as necessary, and posted the videos to YouTube. Beth also helped us think of all the steps so that this could happen in an orderly fashion. Huge thanks to Beth for this help.
• Members of PPC worked with Communications (primarily Matthew Jabaily) to test and set up the Discussion Forum. Thank you to Matthew and Lisa Martincik for their help.

• Megan Kilb worked closely with Cris Ferguson and Nicole Amaduri to coordinate the sponsor sessions.

• Links to the Discussion forum, Slideshare, and YouTube were added to Sched, and links to YouTube and Slideshare were added to the Discussion forum (thank you to Matthew for including the Sched links).

• PPC, CPC, and CEC members, and Beth Ashmore ran the conference. This included live problem solving and testing as we learned more about options and impacts of our setup decisions as the conference was going. Slack was extremely helpful for this cross-committee coordination (along with shared Google Docs). This allowed us to improve the setup for Wednesday and Thursday to include limited chat functionality. Special thanks again to CEC members Adele and Jennifer, and also to Tony Zanders for their tremendous help in making the conference a success. Thanks to Beth for setting up the YouTube live stream, running the live captioning, reviewing the captions, editing/posting the videos to YouTube. Beth’s work with captioning was noticed and appreciated (https://twitter.com/brimwats/status/1270417146384994305)

• We had 14 live sessions plus 2 sponsor sessions, and 13 pre-recorded sessions.

• We know we had attendees from Scotland, England, Lebanon, and India. We did not do a formal check in any way. This comes from Q&A chat and Twitter.

• The numbers below are simply what we noticed at some point during the session and noted in Slack. We were not coordinated in any way in terms of recording attendance. The sessions without numbers listed were not noticeably different than in the adjacent sessions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session name</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
<th>Attendee count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Welcome + Awards + Vision</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>11:00 am – 12:30 pm</td>
<td>Kristen Wilson; Jamie Carlstone; Janetta Waterhouse</td>
<td>over 900 (number not noted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyzing Workflows and Improving Communication Across Departments: A Simple Project Using Rapid Contextual Design</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>12:45 pm – 1:35 pm</td>
<td>Sarah Wallbank, PJ Pascual</td>
<td>765 attendees / 18 panelists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical Approaches to Linked Data</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>1:45 pm – 2:35 pm</td>
<td>Heidy Berthoud, Jeannie Hartley</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk this way: Online content platform migration experiences and collaboration</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>2:45 pm – 3:35 pm</td>
<td>Athena Hoepnner, Matthew Ragucci, Xiaoyan Song</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsor session 1</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>3:45 pm – 4:15 pm</td>
<td>Paula Brewster, Andrew Clinton, Dave Celano, Heather Piwowar</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>What Patrons Really Want (In Their Streaming Media): Using focus groups to better understand emerging collections use</strong></td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>4:25 pm – 4:55 pm</td>
<td>Kate Hill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Publishing Partnerships</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>11:00 am – 11:50 am</td>
<td>John Morgenstern, Anthony Cond, Theresa Whitaker</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Web Accessibility in the Institutional Repository: Crafting User-Centered Submission Policies</strong></td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>12:00 pm – 12:50 pm</td>
<td>Margaret McLaughlin, Jenny Hoops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full Text Coverage Ratios: A Simple Method of Article-Level Collections Analysis</strong></td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>1:15 pm – 2:05 pm</td>
<td>Matthew Goddard</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsor session 2</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>2:15 pm – 3:05 pm</td>
<td>Jenifer Maloney, Kristen Twardowski, Lorna Vasica, Kristina Jutzi, Reeta Sinha, Dan Ascher, Kristen Wilson</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COUNTER 5: Lessons learned and new insights achieved</strong></td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>3:15 pm – 4:05 pm</td>
<td>Jill Emery, Lorraine Abraham</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A multi-institutional model for advancing open access journals and reclaiming the scholarly record</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>11:00 am – 11:50 am</td>
<td>Christopher Hollister, Stewart Brower, Karen Bjork</td>
<td>472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>How Do We Ensure “Read” Institutions Can Still Contribute to a “Publish”-oriented OA Ecosystem?</strong></td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>12:00 pm – 12:50 pm</td>
<td>Sara Rouhi, Courtney Young, Lev Rickards, Jill Grogg, Jason S. Price</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supporting Students: OER and Textbook Affordability Initiatives at a Mid-Sized University</strong></td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>1:15 pm – 2:05 pm</td>
<td>Jennifer L. Pate</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions for Board

- What feedback do you have about what went well that we want to implement again next year?
- Is there anything we need to adjust for an online format next year?
- Do you have any general recommendations for planning and messaging around next year’s conference as we stare down the unknown?
- We welcome your feedback and preliminary ideas on decision-making on content/session types that best fit online/ blended/ f2f venues.

Recommendations to Board

- The online conference seems to have been a great success. It allowed a wider range of people to come to NASIG, including people with limited travel budget, inability to travel for health or family reasons, and reduces our carbon footprint. We encourage NASIG to plan for significant online components for future conferences (even if we still expect to be an in-person event)
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